# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

### FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 0301-03

Bill No.: Perfected HCS for HB 325

Subject: Business and Commerce; Taxation and Revenue - General; Business

Development

Type: Original

<u>Date</u>: March 17, 2015

Bill Summary: This proposal would create the Bring Jobs Home Act which would

authorize a tax deduction for out of state businesses which relocate to

Missouri.

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
General Revenue	(\$121,073 to \$1,321,073)	(\$47,397 to \$1,247,397)	(\$47,880 to \$1,247,880)	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	(\$121,073 to \$1,321,073)	(\$47,397 to \$1,247,397)	(\$47,880 to \$1,247,880)	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 9 pages.

L.R. No. 0301-03

Bill No. Perfected HCS for HB 325

Page 2 of 9 March 17, 2015

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
General Revenue	1 FTE	1 FTE	1 FTE
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	1 FTE	1 FTE	1 FTE

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 0301-03 Bill No. Perfected HCS for HB 325 Page 3 of 9 March 17, 2015

#### **FISCAL ANALYSIS**

#### ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Office of Administration - Division of Budget and Planning (BAP)** assume this proposal would create the Bring Jobs Home Act, which would authorize a deduction against Missouri income taxes for eligible relocation expenses. BAP officials noted the program would have a deduction cap of \$20 million annually.

BAP officials multiplied the deduction cap of \$20 million by the top individual tax rate; and estimated this proposal would reduce Total State Revenue by \$1,200,000. BAP officials also assume the actual cost may vary in the future due to the impact of SB 509 (2014).

**Oversight** assumes for fiscal note purposes the BAP estimate of fiscal impact is the best available estimate. Oversight also notes this proposal would allow a deduction for fifty percent of eligible expenses up to the program cap of \$20 million in deductions per year and will indicate a range from \$0 (no relocated businesses) to \$1,200,000 (relocating businesses claimed at least \$40 million in eligible expenses) in this fiscal note.

Officials from the **Department of Economic Development - Division of Business and Community Services (DED)** assume this proposal would create the Bring Jobs Home Act, which would allow a tax deduction for an eligible business for eligible expenses incurred in moving that business to Missouri. The program would have an annual cap of \$20 million.

DED officials deferred to the Department of Revenue for an estimate of the fiscal impact of this proposal.

DED officials assume three additional employees would be required to administer the program. The additional employees would be an Economic Development Incentive Specialist III, II, and I. Each would be responsible for reviewing and approving applications for the program to determine eligibility, establishing procedures, reviewing the tax deductions applications to make sure they meet the criteria of the program, drafting and sending the awards, and ensuring compliance with the program.

L.R. No. 0301-03 Bill No. Perfected HCS for HB 325 Page 4 of 9 March 17, 2015

## ASSUMPTION (continued)

The DED response included three additional employees and the related equipment and expenses. The total cost estimate was \$204,220 for FY 2016, \$222,102 for FY 2017, and \$224,498 for FY 2017.

**Oversight** assumes the DED estimate of expense and equipment cost for the new FTE could be overstated. If DED is able to use existing desks, file cabinets, chairs, etc., the estimate for equipment could be reduced by roughly \$6,000 per new employee.

**Oversight** notes this proposal would create a tax deduction for fifty percent of eligible expenses and there would be no need for application and award procedures. Oversight assumes only one additional DED employee would be required for the eligibility and compliance procedures. If unanticipated costs are incurred or if multiple proposals are enacted which increase the DED workload, resources could be requested through the budget process.

**Oversight** has, for fiscal note purposes only, changed the starting salary for the additional employee to correspond to the second step above minimum for comparable positions in the state's merit system pay grid. This decision reflects a study of actual starting salaries for new state employees and the policy of the Oversight Subcommittee of the Joint Committee on Legislative Research. Oversight has also adjusted the DED estimate of equipment and expense in accordance with OA budget guidelines. Finally, Oversight assumes a limited number of additional employees could be accommodated in existing office space.

Officials from the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** assume that, beginning January 1, 2015, the legislation would allow a taxpayer a deduction for 50 percent of eligible expenses. The deduction amount could not exceed the taxpayer's Missouri adjusted gross income for that year.

The Department of Economic Development would verify that the number of full-time equivalents increases over the previous tax year. If the taxpayer eliminates the business within 10 years of receiving the deduction, the taxpayer would be required to repay the amount of the deduction. The legislation would allow deductions totaling up to \$20 million in a year. If the deduction claims exceed that amount, deductions would be allowed on a first-come, first-served basis.

L.R. No. 0301-03 Bill No. Perfected HCS for HB 325 Page 5 of 9 March 17, 2015

# ASSUMPTION (continued)

## Administrative Impact

DOR officials assume the Department would require forms changes and computer programming. In addition, Personal Tax would require two additional Revenue Processing Technicians I (Range 10, Step L) for error correction and correspondence, and Corporate Tax would require three additional Revenue Processing Technicians I (Range 10, Step L) for returns, error correction, and correspondence.

The DOR response included five additional employees; the estimated cost for the employees, benefits, equipment and expense totaled \$204,176 for FY 2016, \$210,183 for FY 2017, and \$212,370 for FY 2018.

**Oversight** notes this proposal would change a limited number of computations on individual and corporate income tax returns and would not be expected to have an impact on the number of returns filed. Oversight also notes a significantly high percentage of income tax returns are prepared online, electronically, or by paid preparers. Oversight assumes there would not be a significant number of additional errors resulting from the changes in this proposal; and therefore assumes existing DOR staffing would be adequate to implement this proposal. If unanticipated additional costs are incurred or if multiple proposals are implemented that increase DOR costs or the workload for DOR employees, resources could be requested through the budget process.

#### IT impact

DOR officials provided an estimate of the IT cost to implement this proposal of \$123,687 based on 1,649 hours of programming to make changes to DOR systems; the DOR estimate included 503 hours for the individual tax system and 1,146 hours for the corporate tax system. In response to similar provisions in the previous session, DOR officials provided an estimate based on 1,008 hours, which included 504 hours for the personal tax system and 504 hours for the corporate tax system. At the current IT consultant contract rate of \$75 per hour, the cost for the estimated hours in the previous response would be (1,008 hours x \$75 per hour) = \$75,600.

**Oversight** will include \$75,600 in IT cost to implement this proposal.

L.R. No. 0301-03 Bill No. Perfected HCS for HB 325 Page 6 of 9 March 17, 2015

# ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** stated many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the Secretary of State's Office for Administrative Rules is less than \$2,500. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, we also recognize that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what our office can sustain with our core budget. Therefore, we reserve the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

Officials from the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules** assume this proposal would not have a fiscal impact to their organization in excess of existing resources

L.R. No. 0301-03

Bill No. Perfected HCS for HB 325

Page 7 of 9 March 17, 2015

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2016 (10 Mo.)	FY 2017	FY 2018
GENERAL REVENUE FUND	,		
<u>Cost</u> - DED			
Business relocation tax deduction			
Section 143.1100	(425,020)	(#20.004)	(021.204)
Salaries	(\$25,820)	(\$30,984)	(\$31,294)
Benefits	(\$13,169)	(\$15,803)	(\$15,961)
Equipment and expense	<u>(\$6,484)</u>	<u>(\$610)</u>	(\$625)
Total	(\$45,473)	(\$47,397)	(\$47,880)
FTE change - DED	1 FTE	1 FTE	1 FTE
Cost - DOR			
Business relocation tax deduction			
Section 143.1100			
IT cost	(\$75,600)	<u>\$0</u>	\$0
Total	(\$75,600)	\$0	<u>\$0</u> \$0
Revenue reduction - DOR			
Business relocation tax deduction	\$0 to	\$0 to	\$0 to
Section 143.1100	(\$1,200,000)	(\$1,200,000)	(\$1,200,000)
	<u>(+1)=00,000</u>	(41,200,000)	(41,200,000)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON	(\$121,073 to	(\$47,397 to	(\$47,880 to
GENERAL REVENUE FUND	<u>\$1,321,073)</u>	<u>\$1,247,397)</u>	<u>\$1,247,880)</u>
Estimated Net FTE Change for the			
General Revenue Fund	1 FTE	1 FTE	1 FTE

L.R. No. 0301-03 Bill No. Perfected HCS for HB 325 Page 8 of 9 March 17, 2015

	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2016 (10 Mo.)	FY 2017	FY 2018

## FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

This proposal would allow a qualified small businesses to claim a tax deduction for 20 percent of eligible expenses of relocating that business to Missouri.

#### FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This proposal would create the Bring Jobs Home Act and would authorize a deduction when computing income tax other than the withholding tax of up to 50% of eligible expenses associated with eliminating a business located outside of the state and reestablishing it in Missouri. The elimination could occur in a year other than the year the relocation occurs, and the expenses must be under a written plan. To be eligible for the tax deduction, the number of full-time employees in Missouri for the year the deduction is claimed must exceed the number of full-time employees for the year preceding the year in which the eligible expenses were paid or incurred.

Eligible expenses must be taken into account during the year the plan was completed and all eligible expenses have been paid or incurred or, if the taxpayer chooses, the first year after the year the expenses have been paid or incurred. A deduction would not be allowed for any expenses incurred when dissolving a business in Missouri and relocating it to another state.

The maximum annual amount of tax deductions allowed under this program would be \$20 million, and deductions would be allowed on a first come first served filing basis. Any deduction that cannot be claimed could be carried forward up to five years. If a taxpayer is allowed a deduction under this program and within 10 years of receiving the deduction eliminates the business unit for which the deduction was allowed, the taxpayer would be required to repay the state an amount equal to the amount of tax savings, prorated over the number of years the business was in the state.

The provisions of the bill would expire six years after the effective date unless reauthorized by the General Assembly.

L.R. No. 0301-03 Bill No. Perfected HCS for HB 325 Page 9 of 9 March 17, 2015

# FISCAL DESCRIPTION (continued)

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

# **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Office of the Secretary of State
Joint Committee on Administrative Rules
Office of Administration
Division of Budget and Planning
Department of Economic Development
Division of Business and Community Services
Department of Revenue

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

March 17, 2015

Ross Strope Assistant Director March 17, 2015